

CREDIT OPINION

7 June 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

RATINGS

Bulgarian Energy Holding EAD

Domicile	Bulgaria
Long Term Rating	(P)Ba1
Type	LT Corporate Family Ratings
Outlook	Stable

Please see the ratings section at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

Contacts

Velina Karadzova 44-20-7772-5478  
Analyst  
velina.karadzova@moody's.com

Andrew Blease 44-20-7772-5541  
Associate Managing Director  
andrew.blease@moody's.com

Bulgarian Energy Holding EAD

First time rating

Summary Rating Rationale


Bulgarian Energy Holding's (BEH) (P)Ba1 corporate family rating (CFR) reflects (1) the group's dominant position within the electricity generation industry in Bulgaria, which is an exporter of power to the wider Balkan region; (2) its improving financial profile as a result of deficit reduction measures put in place in August 2015; and (3) its ownership of Bulgaria's main gas transit and transmission and electricity transmission assets.

However, the rating is constrained by (1) the volatile earnings profile of the group which limits cash flow visibility; (2) the uncertainty with respect to full liberalisation of the wholesale power market in Bulgaria and its impact on BEH; (3) the relatively un-transparent nature of the regulation of the gas and electricity transmission assets and the bilateral gas transit contract and (4) weak liquidity management.

The rating incorporates three notches of uplift to BEH's standalone credit quality, expressed as a baseline credit assessment (BCA) of (P)b1, to reflect the high likelihood that the Government of Bulgaria, BEH's 100% owner, would step in with timely support to avoid a payment default of BEH if this became necessary.

BEH's liquidity is currently weak. The provisional rating is thus based on the assumption that BEH will take the necessary steps to refinance its short term debt maturities, which predominantly comprise a EUR535 million bridge to bond facility, with a medium term note issue.

Exhibit 1  
Significant earnings volatility driven by unsettled regulatory environment



\*NEK – Natsionalna Elektrieska Kompania (National Electric Company), acting as a public electricity supplier  
Source: Company data; Moody's Financial Metrics

## Credit Strengths

- » Competitive generation base in export driven market should support generation earnings over the medium term
- » Improving financial profile supported by deficit reduction measures introduced in 2015
- » 100% government ownership and ownership of strategic energy infrastructure provides three notches of rating uplift

## Credit Challenges

- » Unsettled regulatory environment with some political intervention has caused material earnings volatility
- » Full electricity market liberalisation poses significant uncertainty on the trajectory of generation earnings
- » Liquidity management practices are credit negative given the volatile earnings profile

## Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the deficit reduction measures put in place in 2015 will remain to support a sustainable financial profile for the group as a whole.

## Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

Currently, there is limited upward rating potential in light of the significant uncertainty over the timing and nature of the full liberalisation of the wholesale electricity market and its impact on BEH.

## Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

Downward rating pressure may develop if 1) the positive regulatory changes implemented in 2015 were to be reversed as a result of market liberalisation or other reasons, and this were to cause further deficits incurred by BEH; 2) changes in BEH's operating environment, including due to market liberalisation, lead to a deterioration in its financial profile or 3) we were to re-assess our estimate of high support from the Government of Bulgaria or (4) the Bulgarian Government's rating were to be lowered.

## Key Indicators

Exhibit 2

### [1] Bulgarian Energy Holding EAD

	12/31/2015	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011
(CFO Pre-W/C + Interest) / Interest	6.7x	2.8x	7.8x	7.4x	8.6x
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Net Debt	29.71%	7.41%	23.16%	29.88%	49.07%
RCF / Net Debt	29.71%	6.58%	14.87%	22.53%	44.56%
(CFO Pre-W/C) / Debt	20.90%	6.39%	19.14%	22.57%	31.70%
RCF / Debt	20.90%	5.67%	12.28%	17.02%	28.78%
Debt/book capitalization	20.40%	23.78%	24.22%	20.81%	26.32%

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations.

Source: Moody's Financial Metrics™

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.


## Detailed Rating Consideration

### Competitive generation base in export driven market should support generation earnings over the medium term

BEH owns c. 6,300 MW of power generation assets representing 51% of the installed capacity in Bulgaria and in 2015 generated net 27 TWh of electricity (around 60% of the total generation in Bulgaria).

Exhibit 3

#### Diversified and low cost generation base supports BEH's earnings (installed capacity, MW)



Source: ENTSO-E; BEH

Bulgaria is a net electricity exporter with net exports in the range of 8-10 TWh per year (around 10 TWh in 2015) depending on demand. Interconnector capacity with main export destinations (Greece, Turkey and Macedonia) is 1,530 MW and is fully utilised. Demand from export markets is expected to remain high in the near term, as Greece and Turkey have a large proportion of gas-fired generation which has been less competitive in the last few years. Both Greece and Turkey import substantially all of their gas needs, predominantly under long-term bilateral contracts. Although there has been a significant decrease in oil and liquid hub gas prices, pricing under such contracts have not followed this trend as quickly. As a result of higher gas prices and lower CO<sub>2</sub> costs, the Bulgarian generation mix is expected to remain competitively priced and support exports and BEH's earnings in the near term.

The nuclear plant generates around BGN300 million EBITDA per annum, although the contribution in 2016-2017 could be less due to the ongoing plant upgrade.

The contribution from BEH's thermal plant has decreased in recent years due to a reduction in the free CO<sub>2</sub> allowances and increase in the input coal cost, however, it remains competitive with current prices in the export markets (c. 40 EUR/MWh for the Greek pool market). 937 MW of the hydro capacity is flexible pumped-storage and as such operates at higher prices on the balancing market and at peak times.

### Improving financial profile supported by deficit reduction measures introduced in 2015

The wholesale market in Bulgaria currently operates under a dual system (regulated and competitive segments). NEK (a wholly owned subsidiary of BEH) has a public mandate to act as a single buyer and seller for all regulated purchases and sales on the market. Electricity outside the regulated quotas trades at negotiated prices in an open market.

Under this single buyer model, NEK purchases electricity from producers with regulated generation quotas at regulated prices. Quota producers include some conventional generators, renewables, and highly efficient co-generation plants. NEK also holds obligations to purchase power under two power purchase agreements (PPAs) with privately owned thermal plants (owned by AES-3C and ContourGlobal). NEK's regulated sales are to end suppliers for their consumers on regulated supply tariffs (mainly low voltage consumers, e.g. households and small businesses) and volumes to cover transmission and distribution technological losses.

In the period 2011-2014, NEK's regulated sales prices did not reflect the full costs of its regulated purchases and its financial profile deteriorated as in effect it absorbed the energy system deficit. As a consequence, NEK was also not able to fulfil its trade obligations towards the PPA counterparties and accumulated BGN1.2 billion overdue payables to them (as of Dec'15).

In 2015, the government implemented a series of measures to address the deficit and the early results of those had an immediate positive impact on BEH's financial performance. The key measures include 1) a limitation on the renewables and co-generation output eligible for additional subsidy payments; 2) an increase in the scope and amount of the charge levied on consumer bills to cover system costs such as renewables generation support (the "obligation to society fee"); and 3) the establishment of a fund (the Security of the Energy System Fund or "SESF") which is funded by a five percent tax on generation revenues and proceeds from auctioning of the CO2 allowances allocated to Bulgaria.

In 2015, for the five months that the new arrangements have been in place, the measures contributed around BGN600 million in total and NEK's EBITDA improved by BGN375 million. Though, at the same time the tax on generation revenues impacted BEH's power generation earnings. Overall, the net additional cash inflows supported BEH's consolidated FFO/net debt recovery to 29.7% in 2015 from 6.6% in 2014. A 15% reduction in the fixed availability fee for the PPAs was also negotiated, but this only took effect in April 2016.

Provided there are no significant changes to the above mechanism for the new regulatory period starting 1 July 2016, we expect that the measures would support NEK's return to positive EBITDA in 2016. We note that the market liberalisation process may lead to structural changes in this mechanism or NEK's role within the sector as a whole, however, we expect that BEH would continue to benefit from those proceeds in the near-term.

#### **Unsettled regulatory environment with some political intervention has caused significant earnings volatility**

Electricity and gas regulation in Bulgaria is undertaken by the Energy and Water Regulatory Commission (EWRC). Since 2015, EWRC members are appointed by the Parliament rather than the Council of Ministers and this in our view should increase its independence. However, a track record of independent decision-making is still to be established.

Past regulatory decisions have resulted in significant earnings volatility which is credit negative due to limited cash flow visibility. Group EBITDA has fluctuated between over BGN1 billion and BGN330 million in the past 6 years. Regulated segments such as electricity transmission and gas supply, which are otherwise stable and predictable businesses, have seen their EBITDA fluctuate from negative BGN27 million to BGN180 million (ESO) or from negative BGN103 million to BGN53 million (gas supply).

Regulation is largely based on known principles such as rate of return on a regulated asset base for electricity and gas transmission and "cost plus" for the gas supply business. However, application of those still lacks the level of transparency seen in the more established regulatory regimes.

There have been past instances when tariffs did not fully reflect incurred costs (such as gas supply until 2013 and NEK's regulated sales prices). There were also cases of one-off decisions, such as the introduction of the obligation to society fee on exports or transmission charges on renewable power plants, that have been quickly abolished, but nevertheless introduced unpredictability and volatility in BEH's earnings. Regulatory periods for electricity transmission are of one year duration only and this, together with such one-off changes, limits the longer term stability and visibility of regulated earnings.

As a positive, we take into account the stable contracted contribution from the gas transit business, where tariffs are largely linked to inflation, albeit being a bilateral arrangement, there is limited information on the terms and conditions, and hence risks and rewards embedded within the contract.

#### **Full market liberalisation poses significant uncertainty on the trajectory of electricity generation earnings**

Full market liberalisation within the electricity sector (apart from network assets) is a strategic objective for the government in order to achieve full compliance with the 3rd energy package of EU Directives. It is intended that the current single buyer model under regulated prices and generation quotas will be replaced with a fully competitive wholesale market.

The first step towards liberalisation was the launch of the Bulgarian power exchange (IBEX) in January 2016, however the final shape of the liberalised market and impact on BEH is still unclear. The World Bank is expected to deliver a set of proposals during this summer. The proposals will then be open to public discussion, as the transition to full liberalisation will also require legislative changes.

In the last couple of years, BEH's generation earnings have been largely from the open market, as its regulated quotas have been decreasing and in 2017 those are expected to decrease further. Open market transactions are at the moment negotiated bilaterally and

thus are somewhat shielded from the price volatility that liberalisation with trading of all generation volumes on an exchange could bring.

Currently BEH realises open market prices above 70 BGN/MWh (approx. 35 EUR/MWh) which ensures all of its plants are operating profitably. This is also supported by relatively higher prices in the export markets.

However, day ahead baseload prices at IBEX have averaged 22-26 EUR/MWh in the first quarter of 2016 which is largely in line with prices in Romania (the other net exporter in the region). Though IBEX is still a very young and illiquid market, we note that BEH's generation base is largely fixed cost and a potential decrease in wholesale power prices will have a direct negative impact on its EBITDA. For example, a 10 BGN/MWh reduction in wholesale prices sustained for year will result in around BGN150 million decrease in EBITDA of the nuclear plant alone (assuming average annual production of 15 TWh).

Consequently, wholesale market changes pose a key uncertainty with respect to the future business risk profile of the group. Although the current rating factors in the element of uncertainty, it doesn't incorporate a material shock to the financial profile of the group if such should arise as a result of this process.

### **100% government ownership and ownership of strategic energy infrastructure provides three notches of rating uplift**

BEH's Ba1 CFR benefits from three notches of rating uplift for extraordinary support from its 100% shareholder, the Government of Bulgaria (Baa2, stable). This is driven by our high support assessment and expectation that BEH's shareholder would provide the company with timely financial support if such were needed in order to avoid a default.

Our assessment incorporates past instances of support provided by the Government in the form of 1) government debt guarantees; 2) an equity injection in 2009 and 3) most recently forgoing dividends for 2015 and planning not to take such until 2018. We would also expect the Government to step in if the damages claim by Atomstroieksport were to result in a liability for BEH. Atomstroieksport's claim for damages is for up to EUR1 billion and it followed a parliamentary decision to cancel the Belene nuclear project.

We further note that BEH owns a substantial part of the strategic energy infrastructure of the country, including gas and electricity transmission and the nuclear power plant. It is therefore strategically important from an economic and national security perspective.

### **Other considerations**

In addition to the factors discussed above, the rating assessment also factors in the residual issues of interpretation of financial statements given that there are a number of re-occurring audit qualifications.

Our adjusted financial metrics include, among other adjustments, an allowance of approx. BGN1 billion for potential nuclear decommissioning liabilities of the group with respect to the Kozloduy power plant. The allowance is based on our estimates broadly taking into account 1) BEH's installed capacity; 2) the range of nuclear liabilities reported by peer nuclear plant operators in Europe; and 3) access to state funds dedicated to nuclear decommissioning works.

### **Liquidity Analysis**

BEH's liquidity is currently weak due to short term debt maturities, mainly consisting of the one-year EUR535 million bridge to bond facility raised in April 2016. Provided there is a successful re-financing of this loan, we estimate that BEH's cash flow generation and cash equivalents (BGN833 million as of end 2015) would be sufficient to cover its cash needs for the next 12 months.

BEH's ongoing debt maturities are minimal and, excluding the bridge to bond facility, the only large debt re-payment is its EUR500 million Eurobond due in November 2018.

We further note that BEH's liquidity is fully reliant on internal cash flow generation, as the group has no short term liquidity facilities in place.

### **Structural Considerations**

A corporate family rating is an opinion of the BEH group's ability to honour its financial obligations and is assigned to BEH as if it had a single class of debt and a single consolidated legal structure. Any debt issued by BEH would likely be rated lower than the CFR given the structural subordination of the creditors at the holding company. However, the potential notching will depend on the actual balance between the debt at the operating companies versus BEH.

## Profile

Bulgarian Energy Holding EAD is the incumbent 100% state owned electricity and gas utility in Bulgaria. It owns around 50% of the electricity generation facilities in the country, including the 2,000MW nuclear power plant, 2,713 MW of hydro plants, as well as a 1,620MW lignite plant, the input fuel for which is sourced at BEH-owned mining facilities. Through its subsidiary NEK, it is the single trader on the regulated wholesale power market. It also owns and operates the high voltage electricity transmission grid (15,130 km) and the gas transmission and transit networks in Bulgaria (1,700km and 945km respectively), and is also the main regulated wholesale gas supplier. In 2015, BEH group generated BGN675 million of EBITDA.

## Rating Methodology and Scorecard Factors

The principal methodologies used in rating BEH are Moody's "Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities", published in December 2013 and "Government Related Issuers", published in October 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.modys.com](http://www.modys.com) for a copy of these methodologies. BEH's assigned BCA is currently b1, three notches lower than the Ba1 grid-indicated outcome.

Exhibit 4

### Rating Factors

#### Bulgarian Energy Holding EAD

Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities Industry Grid [1][2]			Moody's 12-18 Month Forward View as of June 2016 [3]	
	As of December 2015			
Factor 1 : Regulatory Framework (25%)	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
a) Legislative and Judicial Underpinnings of the Regulatory Framework		B		B
b) Consistency and Predictability of Regulation		B		B
<b>Factor 2 : Ability to Recover Costs and Earn Returns (25%)</b>				
a) Timeliness of Recovery of Operating and Capital Costs		B		B
b) Sufficiency of Rates and Returns		B		B
<b>Factor 3 : Diversification (10%)</b>				
a) Market Position		B		B
b) Generation and Fuel Diversity		Baa		Baa
<b>Factor 4 : Financial Strength (40%)</b>				
a) CFO pre-WC + Interest / Interest (3 Year Avg)	5.7x	A	6x - 7x	Aa
b) CFO pre-WC / Debt (3 Year Avg)	15.5%	Baa	20% - 26%	A
c) CFO pre-WC – Dividends / Debt (3 Year Avg)	12.9%	Baa	20% - 26%	A
d) Debt / Capitalization (3 Year Avg)	22.7%	Aaa	20% - 23%	Aaa
<b>Rating:</b>				
Grid-Indicated Rating Before Notching Adjustment		Ba1		Ba1
HoldCo Structural Subordination Notching		0		0
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		Ba1		Ba1
b) Actual BCA Assigned				b1
<b>Government-Related Issuer</b>			<b>Factor</b>	
a) Baseline Credit Assessment				b1
b) Government Local Currency Rating				Baa2, Stable
c) Default Dependence				High
d) Support				High
e) Final Rating Outcome				Ba1

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations.

[2] As of 12/31/2015; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics™

[3] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures

## Ratings

Exhibit 5

Category	Moody's Rating
<b>BULGARIAN ENERGY HOLDING EAD</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Corporate Family Rating	(P)Ba1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1028638